



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA
180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE, SUITE 2000
CHICAGO, IL 60601

MAILED

AUG 01 2011

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Robert Kopetzky, et al.
Application No. 10/559,522
Filed: September 29, 2006
Attorney Docket No.: 013944-9012

ON PETITION

This is a decision in response to the petition, filed July 14, 2011, to revive the above-identified application under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to timely reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 to the final Office action of September 1, 2010. A Notice of Abandonment was subsequently mailed on March 28, 2011.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) a reply in the form of an amendment, (2) the petition fee of \$1,620, and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay¹.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 3636 for appropriate action in the normal course of business on the reply received July 14, 2011.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204. Inquiries relating to further prosecution should be directed to the Technology Center.

/SDB/

Sherry D. Brinkley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

¹ 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. While it is not apparent whether the person signing the statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.